



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/421,437 10/19/99 CHAPMAN

D 50265-018

EXAMINER

MM91/0913

HICKMAN, PALERMO, TRUONG & BECKER, LLP
1600 WILLOW STREET
SAN JOSE CA 95125-5106

THOMPSON, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2825
DATE MAILED:

09/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/421,437

Applicant(s)

CHAPMAN, DAVID C.

Examiner

A. M. Thompson

Art Unit

2825

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Examiner A. M. Thompson.

(3) David C. CHAPMAN, Applicant.

(2) Edward A. Becker, 37,777.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2001.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,21 and 23.

Identification of prior art discussed: Thorsten Adler et al. paper; Goro Suzuki et al. paper; Xiong, U.S. Patent 5,550,748.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Chapman presented information on the details of his invention and how it differs from the prior art. Also, pursuant to the Applicant's faxed interview agenda of August 26, 2001, the differences between the claims and the prior art was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required